

Reporting Period: From 7/1/2015 to 6/30/2016

Department: Administrative Services 8/8, 100% Division: Admin Services - Administration **Objectives Program Name and Number:** Administration - Admin Services (1511) **Achieved**

Kristy Schmidt Program Owner:

Program Mission: Provide leadership, direction and oversight to the City Clerk's Office, Human Resources,

and Information Services ensuring high performance and efficient operations. Negotiate labor agreements with the City's bargaining units, implement workplace policies, and

resolve issues in ways that create positive employer-employee relations.

Program Activities:

1. Provide overall direction for the City Clerk, Human Resources and Information Systems Divisions.

- 2. Support the activities of the division managers and provide interface and support to other City department heads.
- 3. Manage department budget preparation and monitor department expenditures and revenues.

4. Manage labor	relations with the City's bargaining units.		
✓ Status Pro	ject Objectives		
Complete 1.	Develop and present to City Council the Adm objectives for Fiscal Year 2017.	ninistrative	e Services Department budget plan and performance
Comments: Mid-Yr:	The Administrative Services Budget will be presented for approval to Council in April 2016.	Yr-End:	The Administrative Services Budget was presented to Council on Wednesday, May 4, 2016.
✓ Complete 2.	Conduct a Compensation Survey for the General market compensation inequities, in accordance	_	ining unit and negotiate whether and how to resolve ouncil parameters.
Comments: Mid-Yr:	Negotiations with SEIU are currently underway; meetings are being held weekly.	Yr-End:	Negotiations with SEIU are currently underway; meetings are being held weekly.
✓ Complete 3.	Negotiate a new labor agreement with the Pe	olice Offic	ers Association, in accordance with Council parameters.
Comments: Mid-Yr:	Negotiations with the Police Officers Association will commence in early 2016.	Yr-End:	Tentative agreement reached in July 2016.
✓ Complete 4.	Hire and train an Administrative Analyst to co	_ oordinate	labor relations and other department activities.
Comments: Mid-Yr:	A recruitment was conducted and an Administrative Analyst was hired in October 2015. The Analyst attended the annual CalPELRA conference.	Yr-End:	The Administrative Analyst completed a successful six month period wherein she attended the annual Liebert, Cassidy, Whitmore conference, and has participated in negotiations with multiple bargaining groups.
✓ Complete 5.	Complete the current Leadership Academy a cohort.	nd finalize	e selection process for a new Leadership Academy
Comments: Mid-Yr:	The final workshop for the current Leadership Academy will be held in February 2016; recruitment for a new cohort will commence in June 2016.	Yr-End:	The City Administrator and Administrative Services Director held two informational sessions open to all City employees on the new City Leadership Academy. Applications were received and the selection process for the new academy has commenced.
Status Me	asurable Objectives		Metric
On Target 1. 100.% of Target	Monitor and support Division Managers so the Services Department meets 80% of its annual		
		FY20	016

Otr1

Mid-Year

Otr3

Otr4

Otr2

✓	UM		Target	Actual	Act	ual		Actual	Ad	tual	Actual	Yea	r-to-Date
✓			80%								80%		80%
							Previ	ous FY20	15				
Comm	ents: N	lid-Yr·		-	11		Yr-E	nd.			1		
Status			asurable Obj	iectives						Met	ric		
	of Targe			Administrative Se	rvices De	epartme	nt em	nployee e	valuation		ent evaluati	ons subm	itted by
111.3%	6 of Targ	et	submitted b	y due date.						due	date		
								FY2016					
				Qtr1	Qt	r2		id-Year	C)tr3	Qtr4	. — - —	
✓	UM		Target	Actual	Act	ual		Actual	Ac	tual	Actual	Yea	r-to-Date
✓			80%	100%	100	0%		100%	_		89%	_	89%
							Previ	ous FY20	15				
Comm	ents: N	lid-Yr:					Yr-E	nd:					
Status		Me	asurable Obj	ectives						Met	ric		
On Tar		3.		ensure all divisio	n budget	s are wi	ithin b	oudget at	the end		ent of division	_	spent at
95.8%	of Targe	ι	each fiscal y	rear.						the	end of the fi	scai year	
								FY2016					
			T	Qtr1	Qt			lid-Year		tr3	Qtr4	V	
<u>~</u>	UM		Target	Actual	Act	uai	<u> </u>	Actual	A	tual	Actual	Yea	ir-to-Date
			95%	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						91%		91%
							Previ	ous FY20	15		. — . — . — . — . I		
			B				<u> </u>						
Comm	ents: N	lid-Yr:					Yr-E	nd:					
										FY20		Ot=4	
Status		Oth	er Program I	Measures	UM	Targ	et	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Ye	•	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to- Date
		1.	Formal griev	vances under		4		0	0	0	0	0	0
.% of T	arget		labor agreei	ment					F	Previous F	Y2015		
										FY20	16		1
								Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Ye		Qtr4	Year-to-
Status		Oth 2.	er Program I		UM	Targ	et	Actual	Actual	Actua		Actual	Date
100.%	of Targe		Labor contra negotiations	acı s completed		2	_	1	1	1	0	0	2
			-	·					<u>F</u>	Pr <u>evious</u> F	Y2015		
										FY20		Ot=4	
Status		Oth	er Program I	Measures	UM	Targ	et	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Ye Actua	•	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to- Date
	_	3.	Leadership A	Academy		4		0	2	2	2	0	4
100.%	of Targe	t	seminars co	nducted					F	Previous F	Y2015		
[-							



Reporting Period: From 7/1/2015 to 6/30/2016

Department:	Administrative Services	8/9, 89%
Division:	Admin Svcs-City Clerk	Objectives
Program Name and Number:	City Clerk's Office (1521, 1522)	Achieved

Program Owner: Sarah Gorman

Program Mission: Ensure the integrity and preservation of the City Council's record, conduct municipal

elections, coordinate the recruitment and appointment process for City Advisory Groups,

coordinate the citywide Records Management Program, and provide courteous, professional and efficient service to the City Council, City staff and the community.

Program Activities:

- 1. Administer municipal elections.
- 2. Prepare agendas and minutes for all City Council meetings.
- **3.** Process City Council approved ordinances, resolutions, agreements, contracts, and deeds and certify the administrative record of Council actions.
- 4. Provide information to the public and update and publish the Municipal Code.
- **5.** Coordinate the Records Management Program.
- **6.** Oversee compliance with the Political Reform Act as it relates to filing of Campaign Statements, Statements of Economic Interests, and Ethics Training.
- 7. Coordinate the recruitment and appointment process for 31 City advisory groups.

✓ Status Pr	roj	ect Objectives		
✓ Complete 1.		Administer the November 3, 2015 General M measure(s).	unicipal E -	lection to elect 3 Councilmembers and vote on ballot
Comments: Mid-Y	r:		Yr-End:	2015 General Muncipal Election completed,
			•	implementing first district-based voting.
✓ Complete 2.		Complete the recruitment, appointment, orie	entation a	nd training for 36 City advisory groups.
Comments: Mid-Y		Appointments have been made; Workshop for Advisory Group agenda coordinators scheduled for February 2016;	Yr-End:	Q3: Annual Workshop with Agenda Coordinators reviewing agenda process, City Calendar, Archiving, and E-Subscription procedures. (48 AG Agenda Coordinators) Q4: Completed recruitment and appointment process. Annual A. G. Workshop held in May. Ethics
✓ Complete 3.		Ensure receipt of compliance certificates for members of designated advisory groups.	equired	ethics training for Mayor and Councilmembers and
Comments: Mid-Y		To date, 83% of Councilmembers and designated Advisory Group members have submitted compliance certificates.	Yr-End:	Currently we have 100 individuals who are required to complete ethics training per AB1234 and Resolution No. 13-006. Q3: 84% completed this training. Mayor/Council 100% Q4: 86% completed this training. Mayor/Council 100%
✓ Complete 4.		Oversee the Records Management Program a meeting the program goals.	ınd prepa	ire an annual report on each Department's progress in
Comments: Mid-Y		A Records Management Program Annual Report will be submitted to the CAO in June of 2016	Yr-End:	Completed.

Complete 6. Co Comments: Mid-Yr: A sc sc sc Status Measu On Target 1. Co 100.% of Target by	yland OnBas genda manag onduct a trai workshop o cheduled for cheduled for urable Object omplete 100 y the request	e, the selected gement softwa ning for City stone the agendary Advisory Group February 2016 tives % of customer ted deadline.	d electronic are vendor. caff on agenda r process is p liaisons is 5.	eport preparation Yr-End: Q3: A review and E- Coord Q4: D	nnual Workshop ving agenda proce Subscription pro inators) ue to the high tu nore training sess Metr g days or Perce requ	with Agenda Cess, City Calend cedures. (48 Acrover in this a ions. ric ent of custome ests completed king Days or by	Coordinators dar, Archiving, G Agenda area - conducted
Complete 6. Consider the Comments: Mid-Yr: A scale of the Comments of the Comm	workshop ocheduled for cheduled	ning for City st n the agenda p Advisory Grou February 2016 tives % of customer ted deadline. Qtr1	aff on agenda rorocess is up liaisons is 5.	Yr-End: Q3: A review and E-Coord Q4: D two m	nnual Workshop ving agenda proce Subscription pro- inators) ue to the high tu- iore training sess Meti g days or Perce requ Worl	ess, City Calend cedures. (48 Ad rnover in this a ions. ric ent of custome ests completed king Days or by	dar, Archiving, G Agenda area - conducted er service d within 2
tatus Measu On Target 1. Co .00.% of Target by	urable Object omplete 100 y the request	Advisory Grou February 2016 tives % of customer ted deadline.	service request	review and E- Coord Q4: D two m	ving agenda proces. Subscription proinators) ue to the high tue to training sessemble of the process of the pro	ess, City Calend cedures. (48 Ad rnover in this a ions. ric ent of custome ests completed king Days or by	dar, Archiving, G Agenda area - conducted er service d within 2
On Target 1. Co .00.% of Target by ✓ UM Ta	omplete 100 y the request	% of customer ted deadline. Qtr1			g days or Percorequ Worl	ent of custome ests completed king Days or by	d within 2
.00.% of Target by ✓ UM Ta	y the request	ted deadline. Qtr1			requ Wor	ests completed king Days or by	d within 2
	1	•	Otr2	FY2016			
	1	•		Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	· — - — - — - —
1	1000/	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
	L00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
				Previous FY2015	; ;		
1	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
				Q4 - 7	ds Requests 8 Hours of Staff F ds Requests		ours to Public
	urable Objec		4 - f 4 - 4		Meti	-	l:
Ahead of Target 2. M .05.6% of Target	iaiiitaiii 90%	timely lilling ra	ite ioi statemei	ets of economic in		ent of timely fi ements of Econ	nomic Interests
		Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2016 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	
√ UM Ta	arget	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
	90%	95%	97%	96%	100%	89%	95%
				Previous FY2015	<u>;</u>		
9	90%	97%	98%	97%	100%	82%	99%
Comments: Mid-Yr:				Yr-End: Q3 - 4 Q4 - 1	72 Filers 9 Filers		

Status	Mea	asurable Obj	ectives			Me	tric	
80.% of Target	3.	Complete 80	0% of Council mii	nutes accurately	acc wo	cent of Council r urately prepared rking days and p uncil approval	d within 7	
					FY2016	— . — . — . — . —		
			Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	
✓ UM		Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
		80%	81%	93%	87%	50%	34%	64%
					Previous FY2015			
		80%	86%	100%	93%	96%	96%	94%
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:				Yr-End:			

						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year		Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
	1. Pages of Council minutes		450	119	77	196	39	42	277
61.6% of Target	prepared for Council				D	revious FY2	2015	'	•
	approval		450					450	
			450	94	92	186	128	153	467
						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	2. Staff hours spent in		400	110	113	223	98	142	463
Projections 115.8% of Target	support of City advisory				P	revious FY	2015		
113.6% Of Target	groups		360	140	153	293	103	133	529
			300	140	133	1		133	323
				:		FY2016		:	
Chahua	Other Dune was Manager	1184	Tauaat	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status Below	Other Program Measures3. Customer service	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Projections	requests completed		1,400	310	387	697	152	178	1,027
73.4% of Target	within 2 working days or				<i>P</i>	revious FY2	2015		
	by the requested		1,400	304	264	568	313	313	1,194
	deadline								
						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	4. Electronic filings of	-	440	24	35	59	472	20	551
Projections	statements of economic								
125.2% of Target	interests				<i>P</i>	revious FY	2015		
			435	32	45	77	444	9	530
						FY2016	i		
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	5. Staff hours spent in		130	31	35	66	123	26	215
Projections	support of statements of				P	revious FY	2015		
165.4% of Target	economic interests		125	28	26	54	95	19	168
			125	20	20	1		19	100
						FY2016			
6. .				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures6. Staff hours spent on	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
116.7% of Target	·		60	18	21	39	14	17	70
110.770 OT Target	municipal code				<i>P</i>	revious FY2	2015		
			60	13	14	27	34	20	81
			1						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2016 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	7. Contracts and		450	112	67	179	99	308	586
Projections	agreements, deeds and								
130.2% of Target	notices of completion				<i>P</i>	revious FY2	2015		
	processed		400	109	113	222	81	60	363

						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year		Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
As Projected	8. Resolutions and		135	42	21	63	26	48	137
101.5% of Target	ordinances processed				P	revious FY2	2015		
			135	31	33	64	23	64	151
			155	31		·		04	151
					Ot-2	FY2016			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to- Date
As Projected	9. City Council agendas and	Olvi	60	10	13	23	15	24	62
103.3% of Target	_			10					- 02
					<u>P</u>	revious FY2	2015		
			60	11	13	24	17	22	63
						FY2016	i		
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
	10. Campaign statements		75	27	23	50	0	0	50
66.7% of Target	processed				P	revious FY2	2015		
			20	16					22
			20	16	0	16	17	0	33
						FY2016			
Chahua	Other Dreamer Messures	1184	Towart	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures 11. Staff hours spent in	UM	Target 50	Actual 33	Actual 16	Actual 49	Actual 0	Actual 0	Date 49
98.% of Target	support of campaign		30		10	45			45
	statements					revious FY2	2015		
			20	8	0	8	8	1	16
						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	12. Staff hours spent on		175	74	68	142	131	78	351
Projections	research requests				D	revious FY2	2015		
200.6% of Target			420	42				20	100
			120	43	60	103	55	38	196
						FY2016			
.				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures 13. Phone calls received	UM	Target	Actual 814	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
94.% of Target	13. Filotie Calls received		4,750	814	1,158	1,972	1,144	1,350	4,466
3 11/5 31 141/331					<i>P</i>	revious FY2	2015		
			4,200	1,317	1,316	2,633	1,161	1,274	5,068
						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
	14. Staff hours spent on the		500	87	93	180	96	483	759
151.8% of Target	• .				D	revious FY2	201E		
	process		600	446				477	
			600	116	125	241	138	177	556
***************************************		046 6::	Clark's Office	/4504 450	221		-		Dago E of 6

						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
	15. Staff hours spent on		1,000	186	211	397	73	206	676
67.6% of Target	Council meeting								
J	attendance and follow-up				<i>P</i>	revious FY2	015		
	·		1,000	178	188	366	172	193	731
Comments:	 Mid-Yr: Most SEI filings occur a Mid-Yr: Additional budget wor Mid-Yr: Data are artificially low missing. 	k session	is and specia	J			Services I	Manager a	are
	Mid-Yr: Data are artificially low missing.	v as two	quarters of	metrics fro	m previo	us City Clerk	Services I	Manager a	are
	14. Mid-Yr: Data are artificially low missing.	v as two	quarters of	metrics fro	m previo	us City Clerk	Services I	Manager a	are
	15. Mid-Yr: Data are artificially low	v as two	quarters of	metrics fro	m previo	us City Clerk	Services I	Manager a	ire

missing.



Reporting Period: From 7/1/2015 to 6/30/2016

Department:	Administrative Services	9/11, 82%
Division:	Admin Svcs-Human Resources	Objectives
Program Name and Number:	Human Resources (1531, 1533)	Achieved

Program Owner: Susie Gonzalez

Program Mission: Develop effective policies and assist departments in the recruitment, development, and

retention of quality employees in order for the City to provide a high standard of service to

the community.

Program Activities:

- **1.** Provide a centralized program of personnel administration for approximately 1,500 regular and hourly employees. Establish job descriptions and compensation levels for approximately 400 classifications.
- 2. Recruit, test, and certify applicants for City positions.
- **3.** Provide guidance and personnel-related information to employees and departments. Coordinate and assist departments on disciplinary actions, performance issues, and complaints.
- **4.** Administer the Human Resources function of the payroll process including changes to employees' salary, such as COLAs, merit increases, promotions, etc.
- **5.** Provide staff support to the Civil Service Commission.
- **6.** Administer employee benefit programs including health insurance, life insurance, long and short-term disability, flexible spending accounts, deferred compensation, and retirement. Administer employee leave programs.
- **7.** Administer employee training opportunities and legally mandated sexual harassment training. Conduct New Employee and New Leader orientation programs.

✓ Status	Proj	ect Objective	S					
✓ Complete	1.		fessional mana urces Division.	gement consulta	ant to perform a c	omprehensive n	nanagement au	udit of the
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:				Yr-End:			
✓ Complete	2.		(4) sessions of I Iar year 2015.	egally required	Harassment Traini	ing for supervisc	ors and manage	ers in the second
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:				Yr-End:			
✓ Complete	3.	Provide five (5) sessions of s	taff-level Harass	ment Training.			
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:				Yr-End:			
☐ Delayed	4.	Provide Time	and Attendanc	e training to ma	inagers and super	visors.		
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:				Yr-End:			
Status	Mea	surable Obje	ctives			Met	ric	
Behind Target	1.			_	omplete 85% of e		ent of evaluati ime/total num	ons completed ber of
98.8% of Target		performance	evaluations on	-time.			uations due	
98.8% of Target		performance	evaluations on	-time.	FY2016		uations due	
98.8% of Target		performance	evaluations on Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2016 Mid-Year		uations due Qtr4	. — . — . — . — . — .
98.8% of Target ✓ UM		performance Target				eval		Year-to-Date

Comments: Mid-	85%	85%	81%	83%	82%	87%	84%
Comments: Mid-	050/			The second secon		1	_
Comments: Mid-	050/			Previous FY2015	<u> </u>		
Comments: Mid-	85%	85%	85%	85%	81%	92%	85%
	Yr:			Yr-End:			
Status	Measurable Ob	ectives			Met	ric	
Ahead of Target 105.3% of Target	department		ng days of recei	ons to the reques ving the Positions	_	cent of classification of classification of classification of the	ation studies 15 working days
✓ UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date
	95%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
				Previous FY2015	5		
	85%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	95%
Comments: Mid-	Yr:			Yr-End:			
Status	Measurable Ob	ectives			Met	ric	
Ahead of Target 74.4% of Target	3. Complete ir working day	**	nal) recruitmer	nts within an aver	-	•	Personnel n to certification
=				FY2016			
√ UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date
✓ Days	39	25	33	29	23	34	29
				Previous FY2015	5		
							. — . — . — . — . — . —
	39	21	28	25	31	46	31
Comments: Mid-		21	28	1		46	31
Comments: Mid- Status			28	25		1	31
	Yr: Measurable Ob	jectives		25	31 Met 49-working Wor	ric king days from vices Requisition	
Status Ahead of Target	Yr: Measurable Ob 4. Complete e	jectives xternal (open) red	cruitments with	Yr-End: in an average of 4 FY2016	31 Met 49-working Working Service date	ric king days from vices Requisition	Personnel
Status Ahead of Target 79.6% of Target	Yr: Measurable Obj 4. Complete e days.	jectives xternal (open) red Qtr1	cruitments with	Yr-End: Yr-End: FY2016 Mid-Year	Met 49-working Wor Serv date	ric king days from rices Requisition	Personnel n to certification
Status Ahead of Target 79.6% of Target	Yr: Measurable Ob 4. Complete e	jectives xternal (open) red	cruitments with	Yr-End: in an average of 4 FY2016	31 Met 49-working Working Service date	ric king days from vices Requisition	Personnel n to certification
Status Ahead of Target 79.6% of Target ✓ UM	Yr: Measurable Obj 4. Complete e days. Target	jectives xternal (open) red Qtr1 Actual	cruitments with Qtr2 Actual	Yr-End: Try2016 Mid-Year Actual	Met 49-working Work Serv date Qtr3 Actual	ric king days from vices Requisition Qtr4 Actual	Personnel n to certification Year-to-Date
Status Ahead of Target 79.6% of Target ✓ UM	Yr: Measurable Obj 4. Complete e days. Target	jectives xternal (open) red Qtr1 Actual	cruitments with Qtr2 Actual	Yr-End: in an average of 4 FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Met 49-working Work Serv date Qtr3 Actual	ric king days from vices Requisition Qtr4 Actual	Personnel n to certification Year-to-Date

Status	Me	asurable Obje	ectives			Me	Metric			
Ahead of Target L50.% of Target	5.	issues relate	g updates with do d to Human Reso nel Action Forms	ources, such as t	•		ining updates he eartment reps	ld for		
√ UM		Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date		
✓		2	0	0	0	1	2	3		
					Previous FY2015	5		-1-		
		2	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Comments: Mic	- l-Yr:		-		Yr-End:	11-		-1-		
Status		asurable Obje	ectives			Me	tric			
On Target 100.% of Target	6.	Conduct qua	rterly retiremen	t workshops wit	h PERS represen	tatives and PER	S workshops hel	d		
√ UM		Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date		
✓		4	1	1	2	1	1	4		
								1		
					Previous FY2015	1				
		4	1	1	2	1	1	4		
Comments: Mic					Yr-End:					
Status		asurable Obje				Me				
Ahead of Target 900.% of Target	7.	Hold, on a q	uarterly basis, in	-house supervisc	ory training class	es. Sup	ervisory training	; classes held		
					FY2016					
./		T	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Variate Data		
✓ UM ✓		Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date		
Y	_	4	4	16	20	7	9	36		
					Previous FY2015	5				
		4	1	1	2	5	7	14		
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:				Yr-End:					

						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
As Projected	Applications reviewed		9,000	2,017	1,345	3,362	1,754	1,712	6,828
75.9% of Target	and processed								
						revious FY2	2015		
			9,000	2,005	1,585	3,590	2,457	1,049	7,096
						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	2. Recruitments conducted		80	24	30	54	24	44	122
Projections					D	revious FY2	0015		
152.5% of Target			75	25				24	06
			75	25	24	49	26	21	96
						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	3. Employees (regular and		400	133	83	216	163	97	476
Projections 119.% of Target	hourly) hired				P	revious FY2	2015		
119.76 Of Target			400	114	85	199	93	145	437
			400	114	85	1		143	437
						FY2016			
Chahua	Other Duesus Massey	1104	Tauast	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status Exceeds	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Projections	Regular employee turnover		7.50%	2.39%	3.38%	2.88%	2.58%	2.69%	2.76%
36.8% of Target	turnover				<i>P</i>	revious FY2	2015		
Joseph Gr. Fanger			7.50%	1.58%	3.25%	4.83%	1.38%	1.48%	7.68%
						·			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2016 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	5. Personnel actions	Olvi	3,000	1,502	1,105	2,607	1,398	584	4,589
Projections	processed by HR staff		3,000	1,302	1,103	2,007	1,550	304	4,303
153.% of Target	,				<i>P</i>	revious FY2	2015		
			3,000	649	447	1,096	380	487	1,963
						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Below	6. Percent of Management		70%	33%	100%	66%	100%	25%	64%
Projections	positions filled by		'		'		2045	'	
91.4% of Target	internal promotion					revious FY2			
			70%	50%	100%	75%	100%	80%	88%
						FY2016			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	7. Percent Supervisory		80%	100%	100%	100%	200%	100%	125%
Projections	positions filled by				P	revious FY2	2015		
156.3% of Target	internal promotion		000/					1000/	750/
			80%	50%	33%	40%	100%	100%	75%

							FY2016	i		
					Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Oth	er Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
As Projected	8.	Supervisory/manager		800	159	149	308	109	137	554
69.3% of Target		requests for assistance re: disciplinary issues				Р	revious FY2	2015		
		Ter dissipilitary issues		800	112	118	230	112	102	444
							FY2016	i		
					Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Oth	er Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	9.	Employees who made		500	0	757	757	0	0	757
Projections 151.4% of Target		benefit changes during Open Enrollment				Р	revious FY2	2015		
		·		500	0	310	310	0	0	310
							FY2016	i		
					Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Oth	er Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	10.	Employee requests for		9,000	2,313	2,356	4,669	2,411	2,041	9,121
Projections 101.3% of Target		assistance regarding benefits				Р	revious FY2	2015		
				9,000	2,294	2,871	5,165	2,361	1,901	9,427
							FY2016	i		
					Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Oth	er Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
As Projected	11.	Average number of LEAP		2.00	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04
2.% of Target		training hours attended per employee				Р	revious FY2	2015		
		per employee		2.00	0.04	0.09	0.12	0.03	0.08	0.23
Comments:	9. N	Aid-Yr: New health plan startii	ng in 201	L6, many em	ployees h	ad to mak	e new deci	sions on tl	neir choice	S.



Reporting Period: From 7/1/2015 to 6/30/2016

Department: Administrative Services 12/15, 80% Division: Admin Svcs-Information Technology **Objectives**

Information Technology (1541, 1542, 1543) **Program Name and Number: Achieved**

Program Owner: Rob Badger

Program Mission: Provide computer support for Financial, Geographic Information System (GIS), Web

Services, and department applications; ensure reliable network operation; provide

computer training and Help Desk support to City staff to enhance the effectiveness of City

operations.

Program Activities:

- 1. Provide a reliable and secure computer infrastructure with high-speed network access to City facilities.
- 2. Maintain, upgrade, and support 820 desktop computers and 90 infrastructure and application servers.
- 3. Support the Munis Financial Management System (FMS) and City-wide and departmental application systems.
- 4. Provide computer training for City staff.
- 5. Provide a single source Help Desk support service for quick and effective problem diagnosis and resolution.
- 6. Provide easily maintained and user friendly public Web site to residents and internal SharePoint services to City staff.
- 7. Provide technical assistance support to departments for hardware selection, applications, and operations.

✓ Status **Project Objectives**

✓ Complete

1. Continue the Accela Tidemark upgrade project by selecting a vendor, negotiating a contract and obtaining council approval.

Comments: Mid-Yr: RFP developed and sent to vendors. Received four proposals. Three vendors' demonstrations were scheduled for January 20 - February 3. A vendor/product will be selected, a contract negotiated, and City Council approval will be attained by June. Q3: Currently in contract negotiations with Accela and on target for City Council approval in June.

Yr-End: The Accela Civic Platform permitting software was purchased with City Council approval on June 28, 2016. Implementation is scheduled to begin in November.

✓ Complete

2. Continue the Document Management and Council Agenda Process System upgrade project by selecting a vendor, negotiating a contract, and obtaining council approval by March 31, 2016.

Comments: Mid-Yr: Selected Hyland Onbase software. Currently working with Konica Minolta, the implementation vendor, to finalize Statement of Work, Implementation Schedule, Milestone Payments Schedule, Milestone Payments Schedule, and Professional Services Agreement. City Council approval will be attained by March 31.

Yr-End: The Highland OnBase document and agenda management system was purchased with City Council approval on March 22, 2016. Implementation began in May.

✓ Complete Replace all networking equipment scheduled in the Network Infrastructure Replacement Plan for Fiscal Year

Comments: Mid-Yr: Quotes for best equipment prices were

obtained and replacement of scheduled network equipment is underway. Project will be completed by June.

Yr-End:

Replaced 14 of 15 network devices. Remaining unit requires replacing equipment rack due to size and position of existing rack. Evaluating rack replacements and equipment moves required to accommodate new network device.

✓ Complete Replace the servers scheduled in the Network Infrastructure Replacement Plan for Fiscal Year 2016.

Comments: Mid-Yr: Four servers were scheduled to be replaced | Yr-End: this fiscal year. Have replaced 3 of the 4 servers planned and also an additional 14 that were planned for next fiscal year. Replaced additional servers early because their cost was less than funds budgeted for this fiscal year due to using new technology. Also they use much less electric power and generate less heat, and they will provide business continuity and disaster recovery capability. The new equipment has already reduced both the electricity usage and room temperature in the City Hall computer room.

Replaced or migrated servers scheduled for replacement with current generation virtual servers.

✓ Complete 5. Replace the server Storage Area Networks (SANs), scheduled in the Network Infrastructure Plan.

Comments: Mid-Yr: Both the City Hall and Fire Station #1 computer rooms' SANs were purchased, installed and are operational. All data has been migrated to the new SANs. The new equipment is smaller in size and reduced both the electricity usage and room temperature in both the City Hall and Fire Station #1 computer rooms.

Yr-End: Completed migration of data to new storage systems and shutdown original storage systems at City Hall and Fire Station 1. Enabled snapshot protection and data replication between City Hall SAN (production) and Fire Station 1 SAN (business continuity).

✓ Complete 6. Investigate the need to upgrade the City's GIS software and develop an implementation plan, by December 31, 2015.

Comments: Mid-Yr: Implementation plan was developed and the upgrade of the MAPS application and ArcGIS server software are underway. ArcGIS work station software upgrade will be scheduled after the Cartegraph Work Order system is upgraded.

Yr-End:

MAPS and ArcGIS server were upgraded by December 2015. User training is in progress.

✓ Complete 7. Implement the Historic Properties Web Application by December 31, 2015.

Comments: Mid-Yr: Application is in development with vendor. Vendor was purchased twice which has caused delays in the project completion. Work is in progress with the new owner and a new delivery date of late March or early April is forecasted. Q3: Application is still in development with the vendor, who now expects to deliver a

Yr-End: Historic Properties Web Application went live on June 30, 2016.

Status **Measurable Objectives** Metric

Ahead of Target 1. Resolve 60% of requests for Customer Support at time of request. 122.3% of Target

working version in May.

Percent of calls for customer support that are resolved within 30 minutes of time of request

_	FY2016											
,		Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4						
✓ UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date					
	60.0%	71.4%	74.2%	72.8%	74.5%	74.0%	73.4%					
				Previous FY201	5							
[60.0%	68.4%	74.7%	71.5%	76.5%	68.4%	72.1%					
Comments: Mid-	668 were cleather time of Q2: Of the 8 calls, 666 we the time of Q3: Of the 9 calls, 717 we at the time of	osed with-in 30 n the call. 197 User Support ere closed within the call. 162 User Support ere closed within	Equals Yes 30 minutes of Equals Yes 30 minutes or	Yr-End: Exceed	ded performance	e measure obje	ctive.					

Status **Measurable Objectives**

Ahead of Target 2. Resolve 70% of requests for Customer Support within 1 business day.

Percent of requests for customer support that are resolved within 1 business day

FY2016 Qtr1 Qtr2 Mid-Year Qtr3 Qtr4 UM Actual Actual **Target Actual Actual Actual** Year-to-Date 70.0% 78.8% 82.7% 80.7% 82.0% 77.0% 81.2% **Previous FY2015** 70.0% 75.1% 81.9% 78.5% 80.7% 78.3% 79.0%

116.% of Target

Comments: Mid-Yr: Q1: Of the 935 User Support Equals Yes calls, 737 calls were closed within 30 minutes or within 1 business day. Q2: Of the 897 User Support Equals Yes calls, 742 calls were closed within 30 minutes or within 1 business day. Q3: Of the 962 User Support Equals Yes calls, 789 were closed within 30 minutes or within 1 business day. Q4: Of the 844 User Support Equals Yes calls, 658 were closed within 30 minutes or

within 1 business day

Yr-End: Exceeded performance measure objective.

Metric

	Mea	asurable Obj	ectives			Me	tric	
Behind Target 96.9% of Target	3.	Resolve 98% Business Da		e requests rated	as Critical within		cent of Critical C uests resolved in	Out of Service n 1 business day
√ UM		Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date
		98.0%	100.0%	86.0%	93.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.0%
			.,		I—————————————————————————————————————			
		98.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
			7 Critical Out of S		-		1.	for 21 out of 22
Status		Q2: Of the 7 were closed call was due taking netw days, while cable. Q3: Of the 6 were close Q4: Of the 2 both were classurable Obj		ne remaining her optic cable ion offline for 2 he pullbox and ervice Calls, all hervice Calls,	de Area Network	Me		City WAN and
Behind Target	4.		-	-	de Area Network		cent uptime for	-
99.1% of Target		critical file a	nd application se	ervers.		crit	ical support serv	vers
,					FY2016			
			Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	. — . — . — . — . — .
✓ UM	_	Target	Actual	Actual	Mid-Year Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
<u>✓ UM</u>		Target 99.8%		7	Mid-Year	•	•	Year-to-Date
<u>✓ UM</u>			Actual	Actual	Mid-Year Actual	Actual	Actual	ıl.
<u> </u>			Actual	Actual	Mid-Year Actual 98.8%	Actual	Actual	ıl.
	d-Yr:	99.8% 99.8% Q1: 2 hours	Actual 99.9%	97.8% 99.8% yntime (30	Mid-Year Actual 98.8% Previous FY2015	99.0% 100.0%	99.0% 99.9%	98.9%

Status	Measurable Ob	jectives			Me	tric	
99.8% of Target	5. Maintain a Systems.	n uptime of 99.89	% of the City's Fin	ancial Managem		cent uptime for nagement Syste	
√ UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date
	99.8%	99.6%	99.8%	99.7%	99.0%	100.0%	99.6%
			1	Previous FY2015		n1	
	99.8%	100.0%	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Comments: Mid	time on the System (5 h Q2: 4 hours Q3: 165 mi	s and 15 minutes e City's Financial nours were sched s of total downtin nutes of unsched scheduled downt	Management luled) me luled downtime.	Yr-End: Actual	performance w	ras slightly less t	han anticipated.
Status	Measurable Ob	iectives			Me	tric	
On Target 100.% of Target		-	% of the City's Ce	ntralized GIS and	MAPS Per	cent uptime for PS servers	City GIS and
√ UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date
✓	99.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.0%	99.8%
				Previous FY2015			
	99.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Comments: Mid	Maps serve Q2: No rep Maps serve Q3: No rep Maps seve	orted downtimeers and application orted downtimeers and application orted downtimeers and application urs of downtimeers	for City GIS and ons for City GIS and	Yr-End: Perfor	mance measure	e objective was i	met.

Ahead of Target	Measurable Obj	ectives			Met	ric	
103.5% of Targe		95% or higher cu	stomer satisfacti	on rating on ser		cent of employe orting satisfacto	-
√ UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date
•	95.0%	100.0%	97.7%	98.2%	98.7%	96.7%	98.3%
		',	·		-1,		-1
				Previous FY201			
	95.0%	97.8%	100.0%	99.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.5%
Comments: Mi	customer sa reported th support the Q2: Of the 2 customer sa reported th support the Q3: Of the 3 customer sa reported th support the Q4: Of the 3 customer sa	at they were satisfaction survey at they were satisfaction survey at were satisfied by were provided by were provided at were satisfied by were provided at they were satisfaction survey at they were satisfactives	y, all 66 have isfied with the . plete the y, 211 have with the . llete the y, 75 have isfied with the . llete the y, 30 have		eded performance		
Ahead of Target	-		nort that training	ع النبيال م			
101.% of Target			DUIL LIIAL LI AII III IS	z provided will r	esuit in Perd	ent of employe	es reporting that
	improveme	nts in their ability	y to use desktop Qtr2	g provided will r applications. FY2016 Mid-Year	the		es reporting that ed their ability to ations
✓ UM	improvemei Target		y to use desktop	applications. FY2016	the use	training improv desktop applica	ed their ability to
		Qtr1	y to use desktop Qtr2	applications. FY2016 Mid-Year	the use	training improv desktop applica Qtr4	ed their ability to ations
✓ UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	training improv desktop applica Qtr4 Actual	ed their ability to ations Year-to-Date
✓ UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2016 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	training improv desktop applica Qtr4 Actual	ed their ability to ations Year-to-Date

						FY2016						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
Below	1. "User Support" requests		4,200	935	897	1,832	962	844	3,638			
Projections	completed				D	revious FY2	2015					
86.6% of Target			4.500	1.012			1	000	4.027			
			4,500	1,012	1,000	2,012	1,049	966	4,027			
						FY2016						
			_	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual				
Exceeds Projections	2. Infrastructure Support service requests		3,000	771	864	1,635	893	724	3,252			
108.4% of Target	·											
2001.7001.10.800			3,000	1,010	983	1,993	1,018	770	3,781			
							-1		3,638 6			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2016 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Vear-to-			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual				
Exceeds	3. Critical out-of-service		10	7	7	14	6	2				
Projections	requests completed											
220.% of Target						revious FY2	2015					
			15	3	1	4	4	3	11			
						FY2016						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
Exceeds	4. Enterprise application		1,100	365	324	689	368	379	1,436			
Projections	service requests				D	revious FY2	2015					
130.5% of Target	completed											
			700	175	204	379	259	266	904			
						FY2016						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual				
Below Projections	5. GIS service requests completed		1,000	196	259	455	281	254	990			
99.% of Target	completed				P	revious FY2	2015					
55.70 Of Target			880	331	280	611	316	242	1,169			
				331				2.2	1)103			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2016 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
Below	6. Web service requests	Olvi	1,000	249	202	451	180	180	811			
Projections	completed		1,000	273				100	OII			
81.1% of Target	·				<i>P</i>	revious FY2	2015					
			600	272	236	508	246	238	992			
						FY2016						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
Exceeds	7. Computer users		1,010	1,037	1,016	1,026	1,019	996	1,017			
Projections	supported					rovious EV	2015					
100.7% of Target						revious FY2	-					
			1,080	1,118	1,157	1,157	1,011	1,080	1,033			
			n Tochnolog	/4544 45	40. 45.40\				Dago 7 of 0			

						FY2016			-:				
Status	Other Drogram Measures	LINA	Target	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status Anomaly	Other Program Measures8. Computer workstation	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date 760				
93.8% of Target	devices supported		820	775	763	763	840	803	769				
33.373 31.14.833	actions supported					revious FY2	015						
			820	853	853	853	820	782	782				
						FY2016							
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Exceeds	9. Computer users support		168	173	169	171	170	166	169				
Projections	per FTE (6)				P	revious FY2	2015						
100.6% of Target			196	203	210	210	184	188	88 188				
			130	203	210			100	100				
				Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2016 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Exceeds	10. Munis users support per		140	219	220	219	212	210	215				
Projections	FTE (2)			-1	D	revious FY2	0015	-					
153.6% of Target						1							
			215	191	193	193	204	208	208				
						FY2016							
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-				
<u>Status</u> Below	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Projections	11. GIS users support per FTE (2)		250	336	341	338	328	328	333				
133.2% of Target			Previous FY2015										
			325	326	326	326	343	341	341				
						FY2016							
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Below	12. Web content managers		180	163	172	168	173	146	164				
Projections 91.1% of Target	support per FTE (1.5)				P	revious FY2	2015						
31.170 G. Tanget			100	121	121	121	122	203	203				
						FY2016							
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Exceeds	13. Training Enrollments		650	223	252	475	378	163	1,016				
Projections 156.3% of Target					P	revious FY2	2015						
130.370 OF Target			1,000	4	433	437	43	97	577				
	1 Mid Vr. O1: Of the 1692 calls	C 11 11	1			,	.5	<u> </u>	J.,				

Comments:

- 1. Mid-Yr: Q1: Of the 1683 calls fielded by IS, 935 were for User Support
 - Q2: Of the 1708 calls fielded by IS, 897 were for User Support
 - Q3: Of the 1802 calls fielded by IS, 962 were for User Support
 - Q4: Of the 1571 calls fielded by IS, 844 were for User Support

Yr-End: Reduction in user support requests seen due to computer and software replacements and refreshes, resulting in less problems with older hardware and software.

- 2. Mid-Yr: Q1: Of the 1683 total calls fielded by IS, 771 were categorized as Infrastructure Support
 - Q2: Of the 1708 total calls fielded by IS, 864 were categorized as Infrastructure Support
 - Q3: Of the 1802 calls fielded by IS, 893 were categorized as Infrastructure Support
 - Q4: Of the 1571 calls fielded by IS, 724 were categorized as Infrastructure Support

Yr-End: Infrastructure support service requests completed up are due to focus on replacements and upgrades of aging infrastructure equipment.

- **3.** Mid-Yr: Q1: Most critical out-of-service requests were due to vendor bugs/outages. (I.E. Firehouse Hosted Service Outage and Munis 100% processor utilization bug)
 - Q2: Critical software out of service issues due to software bugs. Critical network outages caused by construction crew crushing fiber optic cabling.
 - Q3: 5 of 6 out of service calls were with regards to Munis availabilty. The remaining call affected SBA only.
 - Q4: 2 critical out of service calls reported in the fourth quarter. Both resolved within 1 day
 - Yr-End: Critical out-of-service requests up as major IT infrastructure and software replacements and upgrades can cause downtime. As well as outages due to systems outside IT's control such as hosted service outages and construction disruptions.
- 4. Mid-Yr: Q1: Of the 1683 total calls fielded by IS, 365 were categorized as Enterprise (FIS)
 - Q2: Of the 1708 total calls fielded by IS, 324 were categorized as Enterprise (FIS)
 - Q3: Of the 1802 calls fielded by IS, 368 were categorized as Enterprise (FIS)
 - Q4: Of the 1571 calls fielded by IS, 379 were categorized as Enterprise (FIS)
 - Yr-End: Significantly more service requests were completed than anticipated, since there were no large software implementation projects underway.
- 5. Mid-Yr: Q1: Of the 1683 total calls fielded by IS, 196 were categorized as GIS
 - Q2: Of the 1708 total calls fielded by IS, 259 were categorized as GIS
 - Q3: Of the 1802 calls fielded by IS, 281 were categorized as GIS
 - Q4: Of the 1571 calls fielded by IS, 254 were categorized as GIS
 - Yr-End: Completed slightly less service requests than anticipated.
- 6. Mid-Yr: Q1: Of the 1683 total calls fielded by IS, 249 were categorized as Web Support
 - Q2: Of the 1708 total calls fielded by IS, 202 were categorized as Web Support
 - Q3: Of the 1802 calls fielded by IS, 180 were categorized as Web Support
 - Q4: Of the 1571 calls fielded by IS, 180 were categorized as Web Support
 - Yr-End: The number of web service requests completed was less than anticipated due to a vacent web technician position and significant time spent on projects.
- 7. Mid-Yr: On target with expected variance for user accounts supported
 - Yr-End: Supporting slightly more computer users than anticipated.
- **8.** Mid-Yr: Listed as an anomaly as the 820 "target" included workstations that were not yet replaced as part of Information System's Infrastructure Replacement Plan.
 - Yr-End: The 820 "target" number of workstations was incorrect due to double counting workstations: both the workstations going to be replaced and the ones not yet installed.
- 9. Mid-Yr: On target for mid-year
 - Yr-End: Performance measure is on target.
- 10. Mid-Yr: Exceeds projections because more users have job functions within Munis.
 - Yr-End: Projections were exceeded due to a significant increase in Munis Financial System users.
- 11. Mid-Yr: GIS services expanded to include addititional users in PW and Comm Dev.
 - Yr-End: GIS services were expanded to include a significant number of new users in Public Works and Community Development.
- 12. Mid-Yr: On target
 - Yr-End: Measure slightly less than anticipated because there are fewer web content managers than was anticipated.
- **13.** Mid-Yr: Greatly exceeds expectations. With training coordinator position filled and classes offered frequently; this metric will exceed projection/target.
 - Yr-End: Training enrollments greatly exceeded expectations. An experienced Training Coordinator was hired and was able to offer many more classes than planned.